



(19) Europäisches Patentamt
European Patent Office
Office européen des brevets



(11) Publication number:

0 526 670 B1

(12)

EUROPEAN PATENT SPECIFICATION

(45) Date of publication of patent specification: **25.10.95** (51) Int. Cl. 6: **F01D 11/08, C23C 4/10,
C23C 4/06, C23C 28/04**
(21) Application number: **91305625.5**
(22) Date of filing: **21.06.91**

(54) **Duplex coatings for various substrates.**

(43) Date of publication of application:
10.02.93 Bulletin 93/06
(45) Publication of the grant of the patent:
25.10.95 Bulletin 95/43
(84) Designated Contracting States:
AT BE CH DE DK ES FR GB GR IT LI LU NL SE
(56) References cited:
**EP-A- 0 266 299
EP-A- 0 331 499
US-A- 4 189 130**
**PATENT ABSTRACTS OF JAPAN vol. 5, no.
12 (C-40)(684) 24 January 1981 & JP-A-55 141
566 (GOTOU GOUKIN K.K.) 5 November 1980**

(73) Proprietor: **Praxair S.T. Technology, Inc.
441 Sackett Point Road
North Haven, CT 06473 (US)**
(72) Inventor: **Quets, Jean Marie
7750 North College Avenue
Indianapolis,
Indiana 46240 (US)**
(74) Representative: **W.P. Thompson & Co.
Coopers Building,
Church Street
Liverpool L1 3AB (GB)**

EP 0 526 670 B1

Note: Within nine months from the publication of the mention of the grant of the European patent, any person may give notice to the European Patent Office of opposition to the European patent granted. Notice of opposition shall be filed in a written reasoned statement. It shall not be deemed to have been filed until the opposition fee has been paid (Art. 99(1) European patent convention).

Description

The invention relates to a duplex coating that exhibits good fatigue characteristics comprising an undercoat of tungsten carbide-cobalt with a top coat of a ceramic material, such as alumina.

5 A variety of rotary seals are used in gas turbine engines which are generally of the type including rotating members cooperating across a narrow gap with another member which is relatively stationary. Such seals are used, in some cases, between stationary members and a rotating shaft or drum for the purpose of maintaining different pressures in chambers on each side of the seal. For example, in one type of gas turbine engine, a plurality of rows of rotor blades extend radially outward from a rotor shaft across a flow 10 path for the working medium gases. Collaterally, a plurality of rows of stator vanes extend radially inward across the flow path from a stator case or shroud. In some types, the stator vanes are cantilevered inward from the stator case. The vanes are positioned to direct the working gases toward or away from the adjacent rotor blades. A stator has a sealing surface circumscribing the tips of blades in each row of blades and, in the cantilever stator vane types, the rotor is provided with a sealing surface circumscribing the tips 15 of the stator vanes in each stator vane row.

As the clearance between the tips of the blades or vanes in each row and the corresponding sealing surface is increased, substantial amounts of working medium gas escape circumferentially over the tips of the blades and/or stators, reducing the aerodynamic efficiency. Furthermore, when the clearance is increased, additional amounts of working medium gases leak axially over the tips from the downstream end 20 to the upstream end of the blades or rotors. Therefore it is desirable to keep the clearance to a minimum. However, it is also necessary to accommodate various dimensional changes which occur during initial startup, thermal excursions, high G turns, etc. Generally, there is some wear-in of the parts under these conditions, particularly during engine startup.

It is known that the more desirable condition is for the tips or knife edges to cut grooves into the 25 corresponding sealing surface rather than for the tips or knife edges to sustain wear. U.S. Patents 4,238,170 and 4,239,452 provide the sealing surface of the stator or shroud with interior circumferential grooves circumscribing the tips of the blades, but this arrangement presents alignment difficulties while failing to accommodate thermally-induced axial displacement of the blades relative to the stator or shroud.

A variety of rotary seal arrangements have been disclosed in the literature in which rotating members 30 generate, cut or abrade a path in a softer, e.g., abradable, cooperating member such as filled honeycomb, porous metal, friable ceramic or the like. In some of these arrangements, it has been found that inadequate sealing or seizing of the cooperating members can result. In other such arrangements, local "hot-spots" and burning of non-abrading members can result. Examples of seals utilizing an abradable member are disclosed in U.S. Patents 3,068,016; 3,481,715; 3,519,282; 3,817,719; 3,843,278; 3,918,925; 3,964,877; 35 3,975,165; 4,377,371 and 4,540,336. The abradable seal is adapted to flake off or abrade, when there is a thermal transient or shock loading causing the blade tip to strike the seal. U.S. Patent 4,377,371 points out that certain materials used as abradable seals are vulnerable to large scale spalling propagated by the presence of cracks in the seal surface and discloses the glazing of the seal surface by the use of a laser beam to produce a fine micro-crack network in the seal surface. In a paper "Development of Improved- 40 Durability Plasma Sprayed Ceramic Coatings for Gas Turbine Engines", presented by I.E. Sumner and D. Ruckle at the AIAA/SAE/ASME 16th Joint Propulsion Conference, AIAA-80-1193, segmented laser scanned coatings were reported to perform poorly.

British Patents 853,314 and 1,008,526 disclose turbine or compressor blades formed with ribs on their tips for providing a seal with a rotor or stator shroud, the ribs or cooperating seal surface being removable 45 when worn out. U.S. Patent 4,148,494 discloses a gas turbine blade or vane having an abrasive tip comprising an electrodeposited matrix of nickel or an alloy containing nickel in which are entrapped abrasive particles, e.g., borazon particles, protruding from the tip. Abrasive tips of the type described in this patent are difficult to produce and are extremely expensive. U.S. Patent 3,339,933 discloses blade teeth coated with bonded alumina which expand into cooperating honeycomb members to form a seal. U.S. 50 Patent 3,537,713 discloses a rotating sleeve having inwardly projecting teeth coated with a hard protective material, such as a molybdenum or nickel aluminide, which displaces a rub-resistant material on a stationary cooperating member to form alternate ridges and grooves.

U.S. Patent 4,884,820 discloses the laser treatment of the tip of a blade that was coated with a ceramic or metallic carbide coating to form a plurality of laser-formed depressions that produce a wear-resistant, 55 cutting surface capable of cutting into an abradable material in a rotary gas seal.

Although the above coatings provide a good wear-resistant, cutting surface for blades, the coatings sometimes do not adhere sufficiently to the blades to remain bonded to the blades when used in high temperature environments. For example, although ceramic coatings such as alumina-based coatings,

EP 0 526 670 B1

provide good wear-resistant, cutting surfaces for many substrates, they do have poor bond strength to substrates such as titanium alloys. However, if the substrate, such as a titanium alloy, is roughened by grit blasting with an abrasive material, such as alumina grit, then a good bond can be obtained for the ceramic coatings. Unfortunately, the grit blast operation will generally cause a large fatigue debit to the substrate.

5 Fatigue is the progressive phenomenon of failure that occurs in materials when they are subjected to cyclic loading at stresses having a maximum value less than the tensile strength of the materials. Fatigue can generally culminate in fracture after a sufficient number of cyclic loadings. Since fatigue causes materials to fail sooner and/or at lower loads than would be expected, its net effect has been to either shorten the useful life period of materials at the same load or reduce the allowable load for the same life period. Thus the use
10 of grit blast is not desired since it can cause fatigue debit in the substrate.

U.S. Patent 4,826,734 discloses substrates coated with tungsten carbide-cobalt coatings that have a strain-to-fracture of greater than 4.3×10^{-3} inch per inch. However, although it will provide an adequate wear-resistant and cutting surface, the ceramic coatings generally provide a more desirable wear-resistant cutting surface for use in the tip of blades for compressors.

15 EP-A-0266299 discloses substrates coated with a thermal barrier coating system comprising an undercoat of essentially metallic composition and a top coat of a ceramic material being essentially stabilized zirconia composition.

It is an aim of the present invention to provide a duplex coating for substrates that has good fatigue characteristics while also providing a good wear-resistant cutting surface.

20 It is another aim of the present invention to provide a duplex coating for substrates such as titanium that comprises an undercoat of tungsten carbide-cobalt and a top coat of a ceramic coating.

It is another aim of the present invention to provide a duplex coating for titanium based substrates comprising an undercoat of tungsten carbide-cobalt having a strain-to-fracture greater than 4.3×10^{-3} inch per inch and a top coat of an alumina-based coating.

25 The foregoing and additional objects will become more apparent from the description and disclosure hereinafter.

According to the present invention there is provided a duplex coating for use on substrates, comprising an undercoat of tungsten carbide-cobalt with a strain-to-fracture of greater than 4.3×10^{-3} cm per cm (4.3×10^{-3} inch per inch) and a top coat of a ceramic material, and in which the thickness ratio of the top
30 coat to the undercoat is from 6:1 to 1:3.

The present invention thus relates to a coated article comprising a substrate, such as a titanium alloy, having an undercoat layer of tungsten carbide cobalt bonded to the substrate and a top coat layer of a ceramic material such as an alumina-based material secured to the undercoat layer. Preferably the tungsten carbide-cobalt undercoat should have a strain-to-fracture greater than 5.0×10^{-3} cm per cm (5.0×10^{-3} inch per inch) and more preferably greater than 5.5×10^{-3} cm per cm (5.5×10^{-3} inch per inch). The compressive residual stress of the tungsten carbide-cobalt undercoat should preferably be between about 207 to about 345 MPa (about 30 to about 50 kilopounds per square inch (KSI)). Preferably the undercoat layer should have a surface roughness of at least 2.4 micrometer (100 microinch)Ra so that the top coat can be sufficiently secured to the undercoat layer. Preferably the ratio of the top coat thickness to the
35 undercoat thickness should be from 6:1 to 1:3; and more preferably from 3:1 to 1:2.

It has been found that the novel duplex coating of this invention provides an undercoat layer that has good bond strength to the substrate and good fatigue properties while the top coat provides a surface having excellent wear-resistant, cutting characteristics. The good fatigue property of the undercoat will effectively prevent the propagation of any cracks in the top coat to the substrate when the coated substrate
45 is put in operation, particularly an operation where it is subjected to cyclic loading. Thus the duplex coated substrate of this invention will survive longer under cyclic loading conditions than a coated substrate having only a coated layer of a ceramic material.

The undercoat layer of this invention has good fatigue properties and bond strength and can be deposited on a substrate by means such as the detonation gun process. The thickness of the undercoat
50 layer has to be sufficient so that it can stop the propagation of any top coat cracks so that the fatigue properties of the substrate are not significantly lowered. For most applications the thickness of the top coat can be from at least 0.051mm (2 mils) to about 0.51mm (20 mils), preferably at least 0.076mm (3 mils) to about 0.254mm (10 mils). The surface of the undercoat should have a roughness of at least 2.4 micrometer (100 microinch) Ra preferably at least 3.74 micrometer (150 microinch) Ra, so as to secure the top coat
55 when deposited thereon.

The top coat of this invention should have a good wear-resistant, cutting surface and be capable of adhering to the undercoat layer so that it will not spall off when used in its intended operation. This top coat can be applied to the undercoat using conventional detonation gun processes. For most applications, the

EP 0 526 670 B1

thickness of the top coat should be sufficient so that it provides a good wear-resistant, cutting surface for its intended application. Generally a thickness from at least 0.025mm (1 mil) to about 0.51mm (20 mils) would be suitable with a thickness from at least 0.051mm (2mils) to about 0.254mm to 0.381 mm (10 to 15 mils) being preferred.

5 The tungsten carbide-cobalt undercoat layer should comprise from about 7 to about 25 weight percent cobalt, from about 0.5 to about 5 weight percent carbon, and from about 70 to about 92.5 weight percent tungsten. Preferably the cobalt should be from about 8 to about 18 weight percent, the carbon from about 2 to about 4 weight percent, and the tungsten from about 78 to about 90 weight percent. The most preferred coating would comprise from about 9 to about 15 weight percent cobalt, from about 2.5 to about 4.0 weight percent carbon, and from about 81 to about 88.5 weight percent tungsten.

10 The tungsten carbide-cobalt coating material for the invention could include a small amount of chromium, preferably from about 3 to about 6 weight percent and most preferably about 4 weight percent. The addition of chromium is to improve the corrosion characteristics of the coating.

15 The top coat layer of ceramic would include alumina, compositions (which shall include alloys and mixtures) of alumina with titania, chromia, and/or zirconia; compositions of chromia and alumina; compositions of zirconia mixed with silica, yttria, calcia and/or magnesia; chromium carbide; and the like. Preferably the top coat would be alumina and compositions of alumina with titania, chromia, and/or zirconia; with alumina being the most preferred.

20 The substrate could comprise titanium, aluminum, steel, cobalt, nickel, alloys thereof and the like. Preferably the substrate would be an alloy of titanium. When the substrate is a titanium alloy, the preferred top coating would be an alumina-based material such as alumina and the undercoat layer would be tungsten carbide-cobalt comprising from 7 to 25 weight percent cobalt, from 0.5 to 5 weight percent carbon, and from 70 to 92.5 weight percent tungsten. The thickness of the undercoat would be from 0.051 to 0.51 mm (2 to 20 mils) and the top coat would be from 0.025 to 0.51mm (1 to 20 mils). Generally, the ratio of the top coat layer to the undercoat layer would be from 6:1 to 3:1.

25 It has been found that with a titanium alloy substrate, the undercoat of tungsten carbide-cobalt will provide an excellent bond to the titanium alloy without effectively altering the fatigue properties of the titanium alloy while providing a surface having a roughness onto which the top coat can be secured. The top coat of the alumina-based material will provide an excellent wear-resistant, cutting surface that can be used in various applications under cyclic loading without failure. To further enhance the surface of the top coat, a laser treatment can be performed to provide a plurality of depressions defined by elevated land areas which can act as a collection of cutting edges. Further, the depressions defined by the land areas are believed to enhance the cutting ability by providing space for receiving fine cutting debris when the duplex coated substrate is used as a blade in a compressor. Upon cooling, the tip of the blade would retract and the debris would be released from the surface.

30 The preferred method for depositing the undercoat would be to flame plate with a detonation gun comprising the steps of introducing desired fuel and oxidant gases into the detonation gun to form a detonatable mixture, introducing a comminuted coating material into said detonatable mixture within the gun, and detonating the fuel-oxidant mixture to impinge the coating material onto a substrate to be coated. Preferably the fuel-oxidant mixture would comprise an oxidant and a fuel mixture of at least two combustible gases selected from the group of saturated and unsaturated hydrocarbons. Preferably the oxidant employed would generally be oxygen and the combustible fuel mixture would be acetylene gas and propylene gas.

35 The powders of the coating material for use in obtaining the undercoat layer are preferably powders made by the cast and crushed process. In this process, the constituents of the powders are melted and cast into a shell-shaped ingot. Subsequently, this ingot is crushed to obtain the desired particle size distribution. The resulting powder particles contain angular carbides of varying sizes. Varying amounts of metallic phase are associated with each particle. This morphology causes the individual particles to have non-uniform melting characteristics. In fact, under some coating conditions some of the particles containing some of the larger angular carbides may not melt at all. The preferred powder produces a coating having a polished metallographic appearance consisting of approximately 2-20% angular tungsten carbide particles, generally sized from 1 to 25 microns and distributed in a matrix consisting of W₂C, mixed carbides such as Co₃W₃C, and Co phases.

40 The powders of the coating material for use in obtaining the top coat are preferably powders made by calcining metal salts. The resulting powder particles should generally be sized in the range of 1 to 45 microns.

45 In the testing of coated samples, various data were observed and some of the data were obtained using the following test procedures.

EP 0 526 670 B1

Strain-To-Fracture Test

The strain-to-fracture of the coatings in the examples was determined by using a four point bend test. Specifically, a beam of rectangular cross-section made of 4140 steel hardened to 40-45 Hardness Rockwell C scale (HRC) is coated on one side with the material to be tested. The typical substrate dimensions are 1.27cm (0.50 inch) wide, 0.64cm (0.25inch) thick and 25.4cm (10 inches) long. The coating area is 1.27cm (0.50 inch) by about 17.8cm (7 inches), and is centred along the 25.4cm (10inch) length of the substrate. The coating thickness is typically 0.038cm (0.015inch), although the applicability of the test is not affected by the coating thickness in the range between 0.025 to 0.051cm (0.010 to 0.020 inch). An acoustic transducer is attached to the sample, using a couplant such as Dow Corning high vacuum grease, and masking tape. The acoustic transducer is piezoelectric, and has a narrow response band located approximately between 90 and 120 KHz. The transducer is attached to a preamplifier with a fixed gain of 40 dB which passes the signal to an amplifier with its gain set at 30 dB. Thus the total system gain is 70 dB. The amplifier is attached to a counter which counts the number of times the signal exceeds a threshold value of 1 millivolt, and outputs a voltage proportional to the total counts. In addition, a signal proportional to the peak amplitude of an event is also recorded.

The coated beam is placed in a bending fixture. The bending fixture is designed to load the beam in four point bending with the coating in tension. The outer loading points are 8 inches apart on one side of the beam, while the middle points of loading are 7cms (2-3/4 inches) apart on the opposite side of the substrate. This test geometry places the middle 7 cms (2-3/4 inches) of the coated beam in a uniform stress state. A universal test machine is used to displace the two sets of loading points relative to each other, resulting in bending of the test sample at the center. The sample is bent so that the coating is convex, i.e., the coating is placed in tension. During bending the deformation of the sample is monitored by either a load cell attached to the universal test machine or a strain gage attached to the sample. If the load is measured, engineering beam theory is used to calculate the strain in the coating. During bending, the acoustic counts and peak amplitude are also recorded. The data are simultaneously collected with a three pen chart recorder and a computer. When cracking of the coating occurs, it is accompanied by acoustic emission. The signature of acoustic emission associated with through-thickness cracking includes about 10^4 counts per event and a peak amplitude of 100 dB relative to 1 millivolt at the transducer. The strain present when cracking begins is recorded as the strain-to-fracture of the coating.

Residual Stress Test

The residual stress of the coatings in the examples was determined using a blind hole test. The specific procedure is a modified version of ASTM Standard E-387. Specifically, a strain gage rosette is glued onto the sample to be tested. The rosette used is sold by Texas Measurements, College Station, Texas, and is gage FRS-2. This device consists of three gages oriented at 0, 90 and 225 degrees to each other and mounted on a foil backing. The centerline diameter of the gages is 5.12 mm (0.202 in), the gage length is 1.5 mm (0.059 in), and the gage width is 1.4 mm (0.055 in). The procedure to attach the rosette to the sample is as recommended in Bulletin B-127-9 published by Measurements Group Inc., Raleigh, North Carolina. A metal mask is glued onto the strain gage to help position the hole at the time of drilling and to protect the strain gage during the drilling of the hole. The mask has an annular geometry, having an outer diameter equal to 0.97cm (0.382 inch), an inner diameter equal to 0.41cm (0.160 inch), and a thickness of 0.123cm (0.0485 inch). This mask is positioned to be concentric with the strain gages, using a microscope at 6X. When it is centered, a drop of glue is applied at the edges and allowed to dry, fixing the mask in place. The three gages are hooked up to three identical signal conditioners, which provide a reading in units of strain. Prior to starting a test, all three units are adjusted to give zero readings.

The test equipment includes a rotating grit blast nozzle mounted on a plate which can travel vertically and in one direction horizontally. The grit blast nozzle is made by S.S. White of Piscataway, New Jersey, and has an inner diameter of 0.066cm (0.026 inch) and an outer diameter of 0.19cm (0.076 inch). The nozzle is offset from its centre of rotation, so the result is a trepanned hole with a diameter between 0.24 and 0.255cms (0.096 and .1002 inch). The sample to be drilled is placed in the cabinet, and the strain gage is centred under the rotating nozzle. Positioning of the part is accomplished by rotating the nozzle with no flow of either abrasive media or air, and manually adjusting the location of the sample so that the nozzle rotation is concentric with the mask. The standoff between the nozzle and the part is set at 0.051 cms (0.020 inch). The location of the plate is marked by stops. The abrasive used to drill the hole is 27 microns of alumina, carried in air at 414 KPa (60 psi). The erodent or abrasive media is used at a rate of 25 grams per minute (gpm). The abrasive is dispensed by a conventional powder dispenser. The hole is drilled for 30

EP 0 526 670 B1

seconds, at which time the flow of the abrasive and air is stopped. The nozzle is moved away from the part. The positions at the top of the strain gage and the bottom of the hole are measured with a portable focusing microscope and the difference recorded. The depth of hole is the difference minus the thickness of the strain gage. The strain released around the hole is indicated by the signal conditioners, and these values are also recorded. The sample is not moved during the recording of data, so the nozzle can be brought back to its initial starting point and the test continued.

The test is repeated until the hole depth is greater than the thickness of the coating, at which time the test is terminated. The strain released in an incremental layer at a given hole depth is related to the stress in that layer empirically, using data from a calibration sample of mild steel loaded to a known stress state.

10 From this data the residual stress is determined.

The correlation between the strain-to-fracture and the residual stress of a coating is as follows. When the material is under a combined set of loads, the stresses and strains from each of the loading conditions may be calculated, and the total stress and strain map may be determined by superimposing the stresses resulting from each load. Applying this fact to coatings, the residual stress in the coating must be added to 15 the stress applied during the four point bend test to determine the actual stress state of the coating at the time that fracture occurs. The four point bend test is run such that the coating is placed in tension. Thus, using the fact that stress and strain are related by a constant, the total stress in a coating at failure is actually given by

20 $\sigma_t = E\epsilon_t + \sigma_r$ (eq. 1)

σ_t = applied stress

E = coating elastic modulus

ϵ_t = strain-to-fracture from four point bend test

25 σ_r = coating residual stress, measured from blind hole test (by convention compressive stresses are negative values)

In general, the coating will crack at a constant value of stress, regardless of whether that stress came about as a result of residual or applied stress or a combination of the two. A coating with a given compressive residual stress must be subjected to an equal amount of applied tensile stress before the 30 coating is placed in tension. Rearranging eq. 1 to express the strain-to-fracture as a function of residual stress, it is apparent that an increased compressive stress in a coating will result in an increased strain-to-fracture of the coating.

35 $\epsilon_f = \frac{(\sigma_t - \sigma_r)}{E}$ (eq. 2)

Thus, the stress or strain which can be applied before the coating fractures is affected by the amount of 40 residual stress or strain present in the coating.

Additional information on the blind hole test for measuring residual stress can be found in the publication titled Residual Stress in Design, Process and Materials Selection, published by ASM International, Metals Park, Ohio. This publication contains an article given by L.C. Cox at the ASM Conference of the same title on April 27-29, 1987 in Cincinnati, Ohio. The disclosure of this article is incorporated herein 45 as if the entire article was presented in this specification.

Bond Strength Test

The bond strength of the coating to the substrate was determined using the method disclosed in ASTM 50 633 except that the coating layer was a thinner layer. Specifically, the coating to be tested was deposited on a set of six cylindrical bond test specimens, each measuring 1 inch in diameter. The surface of the coated layer was ground with a diamond wheel to provide a smooth surface normal to the axis of the cylinder. The cylindrical specimens were then each secured to a matching uncoated cylindrical specimen using an epoxy adhesive, sold under the Trade Mark "SCOTCH-WELD EPOXY ADHESIVES 2214 NON-55 METALLIC" by the 3M Corp. This epoxy adhesive is composed of the following:

EP 0 526 670 B1

Ingredients	Percent
epoxy resin blend	70.0 - 80.0
aliphatic glycidyl ether	1.0 - 10.0
nitrile latex	1.0 - 10.0
dicyandiamide	1.0 - 10.0
3-p-chlorophenyl)-1,1-dimethyl urea	1.0 - 10.0
amorphous silicon dioxide	1.0 - 10.0
water	1.0 - 10.0

10

After curing the epoxy, the cylindrical specimens were pulled apart to rupture and the load at rupture was observed. After this test each coated cylindrical specimen was inspected to determine whether the rupture occurred in the coated bonded area or the epoxy bonded area.

15

Fatigue Test

20

The fatigue specimens were machined into cylindrical test bars measuring 22.5cms (3.5 inches) in length with segment portions at each end measuring 2cms (0.8 inch) in length and having a 1.524cms (0.6 inch) diameter. The inner portion of each end segment was inwardly tapered to produce a central segment measuring 1.9cms (0.75 inch) in length with a 0.635cms (0.25 inch) diameter. The central segment is referred to as the gage section of the test bar. A coating is applied to the gage section and the outwardly tapered segments using a detonation gun. The coating is tested in its "as-coated" state, preserving the natural surface roughness of the coating. The fatigue test is conducted as an axial tension-tension test with a minimum to maximum stress ratio R of 0.1. The test is conducted in air at ambient temperature on a simple load-controlled, axial-fatigue testing machine at 30 Hz. For all specimens, the maximum stress was derived as the maximum load over the gage cross-section of the substrate material. Each test was run until the specimen fractured in the gage section (gage section failure = GSF) or until ten million cycles were reached (run out = RO).

25

Some examples are provided below to illustrate the present invention. In these examples, coatings were made using the powder compositions shown in Table 1.

30

TABLE 1

35

Coating Material Powders								
Sample Powder	Composition -wt %						Powder Size	
	Al ₂ O ₃	Co	C	Fe	Other	W	% thru Mesh*	Max. % of Min. Size
A Cast & Crushed	-	9.0 to 10.0	4.3 to 4.8	1.5 max.	0.3 max.	Bal.	95% thru 325	10% less than 5 microns
B	99.1% min.	-	-	-	-	-	99% thru 325	-

40

* U.S. Standard Mesh Size.

EXAMPLE I

50

The gaseous fuel-oxidant mixtures of the compositions shown in Table 2 were each introduced to a detonation gun to form a detonatable mixture having an oxygen to carbon atomic ratio as shown in Table 2. The sample coating powder as shown in Table 2 was also fed into the detonation gun. The flow rate of each gaseous fuel-oxidant mixture, the feed rate of each coating powder, the gaseous fuel-mixture in volume percent, and the atomic ratio of oxygen to carbon for each coating example are also shown in Table 2. The coating sample powder was fed into the detonation gun at the same time as the gaseous fuel-oxidant mixture. The detonation gun was fired at a rate of about 8 times per second and the coating powder in the detonation gun was impinged onto a variety of steel and titanium substrates to form a dense, adherent coating of shaped microscopic leaves interlocking and overlapping with each other.

55

EP 0 526 670 B1

The percent by weight of the cobalt and carbon in the coated layer of Sample Coatings 1 and 2 were determined along with the hardness of the coating. The hardnesses of the Sample Coatings 1 2, and 3 in Table 2 were measured using a Rockwell superficial hardness tester and Rockwell hardness numbers were converted into Vickers hardness numbers. The Rockwell superficial hardness method employed is per 5 ASTM standard method E-18. The hardness is measured on a smooth and flat surface of the coating deposited on a hardened steel substrate. The Rockwell hardness number was converted to a Vickers hardness number by the following formula:

$$HV_{0.3} = -1774 + 34.433 \text{ HR45N}$$

10

where HV_{0.3} designates a Vickers hardness obtained with 0.3 kgf load and HR45N designates the Rockwell superficial hardness obtained on the N scale with a diamond penetrator and a 45 kgf load.

The strain-to-fracture values and the residual stress values were obtained as described above and the data obtained are shown in Table 2.

15

20

25

30

35

40

45

50

55

EP 0 526 670 B1

TABLE 2
D-Gun Parameters and Properties of Coated Samples

Sample Coating	Powder Coating	Powder Feed Rate	Gaseous Flow Rate	Gaseous Fuel Mixture			O_2 to C Atomic Ratio	Chemistry (wt %)	Vickers Hardness (kg/mm ²)	Strain-to Fracture Stress (m.p./meter)	Residual Stress MPa (KSI)
				N ₂	C ₃ H ₆	C ₂ H ₂					
1 (1)	A	53.3	6.37 (13.5)	—	25.6	16.6	57.8	1.05	14.1% Co, 2.8% C	1210(3)	5.9
2 (1)	A	53.3	5.19 (11.0)	40	—	30.3	29.7	0.98	13.2% Co, 3.5% C	1080(3)	2.9
3 (2)	B	17.8	5.19 (11.0)	—	—	41.6	58.4	1.4	99% Al ₂ O ₃	1103(3)	7.1
										6.9 (1)	

(1) Undercoat.

(2) Topcoat.

(3) Measured as Rockwell superficial hardness and converted to Vickers hardness.

EXAMPLE II

2.54cm (one inch) diameter test bars of Ti-6Al-4V were coated at their end face using the same method and powder composition as was used for Sample Coating 3 of Example I. Each cylindrical bar was then secured to a mating bar along its longitudinal axis using SCOTCH-WELD epoxy adhesive. After the epoxy

EP 0 526 670 B1

was cured, the two cylindrical bars were pulled apart to rupture and the load at rupture was recorded for each sample. The test bars were then examined to determine if the rupture occurred at the coated bonded area (referred to as coating to substrate bond - CSD) or at the epoxy bonded area (Epoxy). The thickness of the coating, the bond strength to rupture and the type of rupture observed are shown in Table 3.

5

TABLE 3

Bond Strength of Power B Coated Substrates				
	Sample Specimen	Coating Thickness mm (inch)	Bond Strength MPa (PSI)	Rupture Type
10	1	0.17 (0.0067)	32.86 (4,762)	CSD
15	2	0.168 (0.0066)	20.56 (2,979)	CSD
20	3	0.175 (0.0069)	25.74 (3,731)	CSD
25	4	0.185 (0.0073)	50.6 (7,334)	CSD
30	5	0.165 (0.0065)	58.77 (8,518)	CSD
35	6	0.17 (0.0067)	37.07 (5,373)	CSD
Avg. 37.6 (5,450)				

EXAMPLE III

2.54cm (one inch) diameter test bars of Ti-6Al-4V were coated at their end face using the same method and powder composition as was used for Sample Coating 2 of Example I. In addition, a top coat was applied using the same method and powder composition as was used for Sample Coating 3 of Example I. Each duplex coated cylindrical bar was then secured to a mating bar along its longitudinal axis using SCOTCH-WELD epoxy adhesive. After the epoxy was cured, the two cylindrical bars were pulled apart to rupture and the load at rupture was recorded for each sample. The test bars were examined to determine if the rupture occurred at the coated bonded area (CSD) or at the epoxy bonded area (Epoxy). The thickness of each coated layer, the bond strength to rupture and the type of rupture observed are shown in Table 4. There was some minor edge chipping of the coating. The average bond strength of the duplex coating of this invention was far superior to the average bond strength of the samples shown in Example II.

35

TABLE 4

Bond Strength of Duplex Coated Substrate					
	Sample Specimen	Undercoat Coating Thickness mm (inch)	Top Coat Coating Thickness mm (inch)	Bond Strength MPa (PSI)	Rupture Type
40	1	0.0127 (0.0005)	0.168 (.0066)	75.6 (10,963)	Epoxy + edge chipping
45	2	0.0127 (0.0005)	0.178 (.0068)	79.2 (11,485)	Epoxy
50	3	0.0127 (0.0005)	0.163 (.0064)	84.7 (12,274)	Epoxy + edge chipping
55	4	0.0127 (0.0005)	0.165 (.0065)	74.2 (10,759)	Epoxy + edge chipping
	5	0.0127 (0.0005)	0.168 (.0066)	90.9 (13,178)	Epoxy + edge chipping
				Avg. 80.9 (11,732)	

EXAMPLE IV

2.54cm (one inch) diameter test bars of Ti-6Al-4V were coated at their end face using the same method and powder composition as was used for Sample Coating 1 of Example I. In addition, a top coat was supplied using the same method and powder composition as was used for Sample Coating 3 of Example I. Each duplex coated cylindrical bar was then secured to a mating bar along its longitudinal axis using SCOTCH-WELD epoxy adhesive. After the epoxy was cured, the two cylindrical bars were pulled apart to rupture and the load at rupture was recorded for each sample. The test bars were examined to determine if the rupture occurred at the coated bonded area (CSD) or at the epoxy bonded area (Epoxy). The thickness

EP 0 526 670 B1

of each coated layer, the bond strength to rupture and the type of rupture observed are shown in Table 5. In some instances, there was some minor edge chipping of the coating. The average bond strength of the duplex coating of this invention was far superior to the average bond strength of the samples shown in Example II.

5

10

15

20

25

30

35

40

45

50

55

Table 5
Bond Strength of Duplex Coated Substrate

Sample Specimen	Undercoat Coating Thickness mm	Top Coat Coating Thickness mm	Bond Strength (inch)	MPa (PSI)	Rupture Type
1	0.0127 (0.0005)	0.239 (.0094)	81.9	(11,867)	Epoxy
2	0.0127 (0.0005)	0.236 (.0093)	80.1	(11,612)	Epoxy & edge chipping
3	0.0127 (0.0005)	0.224 (.0088)	74.5	(10,797)	Epoxy
4	0.0127 (0.0005)	0.229 (.0090)	79.8	(11,561)	Epoxy & edge chipping
5	0.0127 (0.0005)	0.267 (.0105)	63.1	(9,140)	Epoxy
6	0.0127 (0.0005)	0.224 (.0088)	75.7	(10,975)	Epoxy
Avg.				79.4 (11,510)	

EXAMPLE V

Fatigue test bars made of Ti-6-4 alloy made as described above were coated on their central or gage portions with the coated composition of Sample Coating 1, 2 or 3 of Example I using the process and gaseous fuel mixture as shown in Table 2 for Sample Coating 1, 2 or 3, respectively. Additional fatigue test bars were coated on their gage portions with an undercoat of the coated composition of Sample Coating 1 or 2 over which was deposited the coated composition of Sample Coating 3 of Example I. These test bars were coated with different thicknesses of the coated composition of Sample Coating 1, 2 or 3 of Example I, as shown in Table 6. Prior to coating, the test bars were not grit blasted, with the exception of the test bars coated only with the Sample Coating 3 of Table 2 of Example I, which were grit blasted with 60 mesh angular particles of alumina to promote a good bond strength between the Sample Coating 3 and the test bars. Together with some uncoated fatigue bars, the coated fatigue bars were subjected to the fatigue test as described above. Table 6 shows the maximum stress each individual bar was subjected to and the number of cycles it sustained before the bar broke in fatigue in the gage portion or if it did not break after 10^7 cycles, then the test was interrupted and described as a run-out.

The data from Table 3 of Example II shows that test bars coated with Al_2O_3 all ruptured at the coated bonded area while the data from Table 4 of Example III shows that the test bars coated with a duplex coating in accordance with this invention ruptured at the epoxy bonded area and not the coated bonded area. These tests demonstrate that the Al_2O_3 coating did not adhere effectively to the substrate when it was used as a single coating but did adhere effectively to a tungsten carbide-cobalt undercoat as shown in Example III. Thus, although Al_2O_3 coatings provide a good wear-resistant cutting surface for blades, the coatings sometimes do not adhere sufficiently to the blades to remain bonded to the blades when used in their intended applications.

However, if the substrate, such as a blade is first coated with a tungsten carbide-cobalt undercoat and then coated with a Al_2O_3 coating, the Al_2O_3 coating will adhere to and provide a good bond with the undercoat layer. This can be accomplished without the need for grit blasting the substrate which could cause a large fatigue debit to the substrate.

The data in Table 2 shows that a coating applied using the combination of two combustible gases gave better strain-to-fracture and residual stress than a coating which was applied using a conventional detonation gun process using only one combustible gas. In Table 6, Test Bar 16 was made using a conventional detonation process of only one combustible gas and survived 1.86×10^5 cycles at a stress of 345 MPa (50 KS1). The same coating composition and thickness of the layers of the duplex coating were also applied using a detonation gun process using two combustible gases (Test Bar 18) and this Test Bar 18 survived 1.4166×10^7 cycles at a stress of 345 MPa (50KS1). Thus the process used to deposit the undercoat on the substrate should be selected to insure that the undercoat of tungsten carbide-cobalt has a strain-to-fracture of greater than 4.3×10^{-3} cm per cm (inch per inch).

40

45

50

55

5
10
15
20
25
30
35
40
45
50

55

TABLE 6
FATIGUE TEST AT MAXIMUM STRESS LEVELS

Test Bar	Max. Stress MPa (KSI)	Coating Sample	Coating Thickness mm (mils)	Cycles	Fatigue Results
1	552 (80)	2	0.254 (10.0)	2.2x10 ⁴	GSF
* 2	552 (80)	Duplex 1	0.122 (4.8)	2.9x10 ⁴	GSF
3	552 (80)	Duplex 1	0.038 (1.5)	3.7x10 ⁴	GSF
4	552 (80)	Duplex 1	0.048 (1.9)	0.142 (5.6)	
			0.025 (1.0)	0.025 (1.0)	
			0.152 (6.0)	0.152 (6.0)	
5	552 (80)	1	0.231 (9.1)	1.2135x10 ⁷	RO
6	552 (80)	1	0.236 (9.3)	1.009x10 ⁷	RO
7	552 (80)	Duplex 1	0.097 (3.8)	1.0229x10 ⁷	RO
		3	0.099 (3.9)		

* This Test Bar broke in an area that was not coated.

5

10

15

20

25

30

35

40

45

50

55

TABLE 6 (contd.)
FATIGUE TEST AT MAXIMUM STRESS LEVELS

Test Bar	Max. Stress MPa (KSI)	Coating Sample	Coating Thickness mm (mils)	Cycles	Fatigue Results
8 483	(70)	Duplex 2	0.025 (1.0)	5.0x10 ⁴	GSF
9 483	(70)	Duplex 3	0.152 (6.0)		
			0.048 (1.9)		
9 483	(70)	Duplex 1	0.168 (6.6)	7.6x10 ⁴	GSF
10 483	(70)	3	0.165 (6.5)	1.31x10 ⁵	GSF
11 483	(70)	Duplex 1	0.135 (5.3)	1.0043x10 ⁷	RO
		3	0.051 (2.0)		
12 414	(60)	2	0.241 (9.5)	4.9x10 ⁴	GSF
13 414	(60)	Duplex 1	0.025 (1.0)	1.08x10 ⁵	GSF
		3	0.152 (6.0)		
14 414	(60)	1	0.231 (9.1)	1.0162x10 ⁷	RO
15 414	(60)	3	0.173 (6.8)	1.0099x10 ⁷	RO

TABLE 6 (contd.)
FATIGUE TEST AT MAXIMUM STRESS OF LEVELS

Test Bar	Max. Stress MPa (KSI)	Coating Sample	Coating Thickness mm (mils)	Cycles	Fatigue Results
16	345 (50)	Duplex 2 3	0.025 (1.0) 0.152 (6.0)	1.86x10 ⁵	GSF
17	345 (50)	3	0.173 (6.8)	3.063x10 ⁶	GSF
18	345 (50)	Duplex 1 3	0.025 (1.0) 0.152 (6.0)	1.4166x10 ⁷	RO
19	276 (40)	2	0.213 (8.4)	1.56x10 ⁵	GSF
20	276 (40)	2	0.241 (9.5)	2.67x10 ⁵	GSF
21	276 (40)	Duplex 2 3	0.025 (1.0) 0.147 (5.8)	4.18x10 ⁵	GSF
22	276 (40)	Duplex 1 3	0.025 (1.0) 0.152 (6.0)	1.0002x10 ⁷	RO

Claims

1. A duplex coating, for use on substrates, comprising an undercoat of tungsten carbide-cobalt with a strain-to-fracture of greater than 4.3×10^{-3} cm per cm (4.3×10^{-3} inch per inch) and a top coat of a

EP 0 526 670 B1

ceramic material, and in which the thickness ratio of the top coat to the undercoat is from 6:1 to 1:3.

2. A duplex coating as claimed in claim 1 wherein the thickness ratio of the top coat to the undercoat is from 3:1 to 1:2.
5
3. A duplex coating as claimed in claim 1 or 2 wherein the tungsten carbide-cobalt undercoat has a strain to fracture of greater than 5.0×10^{-3} cm per cm (5.0×10^{-3} inch per inch).
4. A duplex coating as claimed in any of the preceding claims wherein the ceramic material is selected from alumina, compositions of alumina with at least one member selected from titanium, chromia and zirconia; compositions of chromia and alumina; composition of zirconia with at least one member selected from magnesia, silica, yttria, and calcia; and chromium carbide.
10
5. A duplex coating as claimed in claim 4 wherein the ceramic material is an alumina based material.
15
6. A duplex coating as claimed in any of the preceding claims wherein the tungsten carbide-cobalt undercoat has a cobalt content of from about 7 to about 25 weight percent, a carbon content from about 0.5 to about 5 weight percent and tungsten content of from about 70 to 92.5 weight percent.
20
7. A duplex coating as claimed in claim 6 wherein said undercoat contains up to 6 weight percent chromium.
25
8. A duplex coating as claimed in claim 6 wherein the cobalt content is from about 8 to about 18 weight percent, the carbon content is from about 2.0 to about 4.0 weight percent and the tungsten content is from about 78 to about 90 weight percent.
30
9. A duplex coating as claimed in claim 1, wherein said undercoat is at least 0.051 mm (2 mils) and said top coat is at least 0.025 mm (1 mil).
35
10. A duplex coating as claimed in claim 9 wherein said undercoat is from 0.051mm to 0.762mm (2 mils to 30 mils) thick and said top coat is from 0.025mm to 0.51mm (1 mil to 20 mils) thick.
11. A substrate selected from the group comprising titanium, steel, aluminium, cobalt, nickel and alloys thereof having a duplex coating as claimed in any of the preceding claims.
35
12. A substrate as claimed in claim 11 in which the substrate is a blade.
36
13. A substrate as claimed in claim 11 in which the substrate is a blade tip.
37
14. A substrate as claimed in claim 12 wherein the blade is a titanium blade and the top coat is an alumina based material.
38

Patentansprüche

- 45 1. Duplexbeschichtung zur Verwendung auf Substraten, mit einer Unterschicht aus Wolframkarbid-Kobalt, die eine Bruchformänderung von mehr als $4,3 \cdot 10^{-3}$ cm/cm ($4,3 \cdot 10^{-3}$ inch/inch) hat, und mit einer Oberschicht aus einem keramischen Werkstoff, wobei das Dickenverhältnis zwischen der Oberschicht und der Unterschicht zwischen 6:1 und 1:3 liegt.
46
- 50 2. Duplexbeschichtung nach Anspruch 1, bei der das Dickenverhältnis zwischen der Oberschicht und der Unterschicht zwischen 3:1 und 1:2 liegt.
51
3. Duplexbeschichtung nach Anspruch 1 oder 2, bei der die Unterschicht aus Wolframkarbid-Kobalt eine Bruchformänderung von mehr als $5,0 \cdot 10^{-3}$ cm/cm ($5,0 \cdot 10^{-3}$ inch/inch) hat.
52
- 55 4. Duplexbeschichtung nach einem der vorhergehenden Ansprüche, bei welcher der keramische Werkstoff aus Aluminiumoxid, Zusammensetzungen von Aluminiumoxid mit mindestens einem aus Titan, Chromoxid und Zirkonoxid ausgewählten Werkstoff; Zusammensetzungen aus Chromoxid und Aluminiumoxid;
56

EP 0 526 670 B1

Zusammensetzungen aus Zirkonoxid mit mindestens einem aus Magnesiumoxid, Siliziumoxid, Yttrium-oxid und Kalziumoxid ausgewählten Werkstoff; sowie Chromkarbid ausgewählt ist.

5. Duplexbeschichtung nach Anspruch 4, bei welcher der keramische Werkstoff ein auf Aluminiumoxid basierender Werkstoff ist.
10. Duplexbeschichtung nach einem der vorhergehenden Ansprüche, bei welcher die Unterschicht aus Wolframkarbid-Kobalt einen Kobaltgehalt von etwa 7 bis etwa 25 Gew.% , einen Kohlenstoffgehalt von etwa 0,5 bis etwa 5 Gew.% und einen Wolframgehalt von etwa 70 bis 92,5 Gew.% hat.
15. Duplexbeschichtung nach Anspruch 6, bei der die Unterschicht bis zu 6 Gew.% Chrom enthält.
20. Duplexbeschichtung nach Anspruch 6, bei welcher der Kobaltgehalt zwischen etwa 8 und etwa 18 Gew.% liegt, der Kohlenstoffgehalt etwa 2,0 bis etwa 4,0 Gew.% beträgt und der Wolframgehalt zwischen etwa 78 und etwa 90 Gew.% liegt.
25. Duplexbeschichtung nach Anspruch 1, bei welcher die Unterschicht mindestens 0,051 mm (2 mil) dick ist und die Oberschicht mindestens 0,025 mm (1 mil) dick ist.
30. Duplexbeschichtung nach Anspruch 9, bei der die Unterschicht 0,051 mm bis 0,762 mm (2 mil bis 30 mil) dick ist und die Oberschicht 0,025 mm bis 0,51 mm (1 mil bis 20 mil) dick ist.
35. Substrat aus der Titan, Stahl, Aluminium, Kobalt, Nickel und deren Legierungen umfassenden Gruppe mit einer Duplexbeschichtung nach einem der vorhergehenden Ansprüche.
40. Substrat nach Anspruch 11, wobei das Substrat eine Schaufel ist.
45. Substrat nach Anspruch 11, wobei das Substrat eine Schaufelspitze ist.
50. Substrat nach Anspruch 12, wobei die Schaufel eine Titanschaufel ist und die Oberschicht aus einem auf Aluminiumoxid basierenden Werkstoff besteht.

Revendications

35. 1. Revêtement double, à utiliser sur des substrats, comportant une sous-couche en carbure de tungstène-cobalt d'une déformation à la rupture supérieure à $4,3 \times 10^{-3}$ cm par cm ($4,3 \times 10^{-3}$ inch par inch) et une couche supérieure en une matière céramique, et dans lequel le rapport de l'épaisseur de la couche supérieure à l'épaisseur de la sous-couche est de 6:1 par 1:3.
40. 2. Revêtement double selon la revendication 1, dans lequel le rapport de l'épaisseur de la couche supérieure à l'épaisseur de la sous-couche est de 3:1 à 1:2.
45. 3. Revêtement double selon la revendication 1 ou 2, dans lequel la sous-couche en carbure de tungstène-cobalt présente une déformation à la rupture supérieure à $5,0 \times 10^{-3}$ cm par cm ($5,0 \times 10^{-3}$ inch par inch).
50. 4. Revêtement double selon l'une quelconque des revendications précédentes, dans lequel la matière céramique est choisie parmi de l'alumine, des compositions d'alumine avec au moins un élément choisi parmi du titane, de l'oxyde de chrome et de la zircone ; des compositions d'oxyde de chrome et d'alumine ; des compositions de zircone avec au moins un élément choisi parmi de la magnésie, de la silice, de l'oxyde d'yttrium et de l'oxyde de calcium ; et du carbure de chrome.
55. 5. Revêtement double selon la revendication 4, dans lequel la matière céramique est une matière à base d'alumine.
6. Revêtement double selon l'une quelconque des revendications précédentes, dans lequel la sous-couche en carbure de tungstène-cobalt présente une teneur en cobalt d'environ 7 à environ 25 pour cent en poids, une teneur en carbone d'environ 0,5 à environ 5 pour cent en poids et une teneur en

EP 0 526 670 B1

tungstène d'environ 70 à 90,5 pour cent en poids.

7. Revêtement double selon la revendication 6, dans lequel ladite sous-couche contient jusqu'à 6 pour cent en poids de chrome.
5
8. Revêtement double selon la revendication 6, dans lequel la teneur en cobalt est d'environ 8 à environ 18 pour cent en poids, la teneur en carbone est d'environ 2,0 à environ 4,0 pour cent en poids et la teneur en tungstène est d'environ 78 à environ 90 pour cent en poids.
10
9. Revêtement double selon la revendication 1, dans lequel ladite sous-couche est d'au moins 0,051 mm (2 mils) et ladite couche supérieure est d'au moins 0,025 mm (1 mil).
15
10. Revêtement double selon la revendication 9, dans lequel ladite sous-couche est de 0,051 mm à 0,762 mm (2 mils à 30 mils) d'épaisseur et ladite couche supérieure est de 0,025 mm à 0,51 mm (1 mil à 20 mils) d'épaisseur.
20
11. Substrat choisi dans le groupe comprenant du titane, de l'acier, de l'aluminium, du cobalt, du nickel et des alliages de ceux-ci ayant un revêtement double selon l'une quelconque des revendications précédentes.
25
12. Substrat selon la revendication 11, dans lequel le substrat est une aube.
30
13. Substrat selon la revendication 11, dans lequel le substrat est un bout d'aube.
35
14. Substrat selon la revendication 12, dans lequel l'aube est une aube en titane et la couche supérieure est en une matière à base d'alumine.
40

45

50

55